

United States Patent and Trademark Office

H.A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/852,122	05/08/2001	Martin Czech	Micronas.6158	4157		
75	7590 08/29/2006		EXAMINER-			
Patrica J O'She	Patrica J O'Shea			RICHARDS, N DREW		
O'Shea Getz & Kosakowski P C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Suite 912	1500 Main Street Suite 912					
Springfield, MA 01115			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/852,122	CZECH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	THE BIOW PROMOTOR							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•							
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in begard appeal; and/or			the issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).					
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) :							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,13-21</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
13.			1					
•	*	011 '1	///					

N. DREW RICHARDS PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment requires further search and consideration as the limitation of the gate electrode and the second region are both directly connected to the second supply potential is a newly presented limitation.